



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

09-211,879 14 DEC. 98

SUDUTH

NOXTECH-01B

EXAMINER

VANOY

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1754 15

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mr. Alan LOUDERMILK, ATTORNEY (3)

(2) Mr. Timothy VANOY, EXAMINER (4)

Date of Interview: 07 JUNE 1999

Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No If yes, brief description:

Agreement was reached. was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: PENDING

Identification of prior art discussed: NONE

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: EXMR. REQUESTED

AN ADDITIONAL AMEND' REQUESTING THE LANGUAGE & CHANGES IN THE EXAMINER'S AMEND' IN THE PARENT APPLICATION (08-742,769) AND ALSO A TERMINAL DISCLAIMER OVER 08-742,769 TO ADVANCE THE PROSECUTION OF THIS APPLICATION. EXMR. NOTED THAT A CLEAR LINE OF DEMARCAION BETWEEN CLAIM 61 IN 09-211,879 AND CLAIM 59 IN 08-742,769 MUST BE MAINTAINED.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

FORM PTOL-413 (REV.1-98)

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/211,879	Applicant(s) SUDDUTH et al.
	Examiner Timothy VANOV	Group Art Unit 1754

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Timothy VANOV (3) _____
 (2) Mr. Allan LOUDERMILK, Attorney (4) _____

Date of Interview Jan 14, 2000

Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement was reached. was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 110

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Claim 110 discussed resulting in an Examiner's Amendment which substitutes --comprising-- in lieu of "such as" in line 2 of claim 110 and also substitutes --or-- in lieu of "and" in line 2 of claim 110, which was authorized for entry by the Attorney.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

09-211,879 14 DEC. 98

SUDARTH

NOXTECH-018

EXAMINER

VANO

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1754 15

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ms. Mimi MARGARETICH, SECRETARY (to Mr. Alan Loudermilk (ATTORNEY OF RECORD))
(2) Mr. Timothy VANO, EXAMINER

Date of interview: 08 JUNE 1999

Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement was reached. was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: NONE

Identification of prior art discussed: NONE

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Exm'r informed the SECRETARY THAT THE TERMINAL DISCLAIMERS CAN BE FIXED TO 703-305-3599. Exm'r informed the SECRETARY THAT ALL THE LITERATURE REFERENCES ON PG. 1 of 5 in the PTO-1449 in 09-211,879 ARE MISSING, THE FIRST TWO LITERATURE REFERENCES ON PG. 2 of 5 in the PTO-1449 in 09-211,879 WERE ALSO MISSING

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

FORM PTOL-413 (REV.1-86)